Middletown Spine and Injury
Sheely Chiropractic

Middletown Spine and Injury
A division of Dr. Robert B. Sheely Inc.
1002 N. University Blvd
Middletown, Ohio 45042
Clinic Director -Robert B Sheely, DC, FICC, FIACA
Ph: 513-217-7035 Fax: 513-318-4973
drrob@sheelychiro.com www.sheelychiro.com

Digital X-ray Analysis Software for AOMSI and Biomechanical Spinal Dysfunction Identification in Personal Injury Cases

Robert B. Sheely, DC, FICC, FIACA

1. Introduction

In the domain of personal injury litigation, the objective substantiation of spinal injuries represents a persistent challenge. For decades, legal arguments have often pivoted on the interpretation of static medical images and the subjective testimony of both patients and medical experts. This paradigm, however, is undergoing a significant transformation with the advent and refinement of digital X-ray analysis software. This technology provides an objective, quantifiable, and reproducible method for assessing spinal integrity and function, thereby bridging the gap between a traumatic event and demonstrable physical impairment. This report provides a comprehensive technical overview of the importance and application of digital X-ray analysis software in identifying Alteration of Motion Segment Integrity (AOMSI) and other biomechanical spinal dysfunctions. It will explore the underlying medical principles, the technological advancements, the application of this evidence in a legal context, and the standards for its admissibility in court. By converting complex medical data into actionable legal insights, this technology is revolutionizing how spinal injury claims are evaluated, negotiated, and litigated, offering a more precise and evidence-based foundation for achieving just outcomes [1].

2. Understanding Spinal Biomechanics and Traumatic Injury

A comprehensive understanding of spinal biomechanics is foundational to appreciating the diagnostic power of digital analysis software. The spine is not a rigid structure but a complex, dynamic system of interconnected segments designed to provide both stability and mobility. Trauma, particularly from events like motor vehicle collisions, can disrupt this delicate balance, leading to injuries that are often subtle and difficult to detect with conventional imaging alone.

2.1 The Spinal Motion Segment

The fundamental functional unit of the spine is the motion segment. The American Medical Association (AMA) defines a spinal motion segment as comprising two adjacent vertebrae, the intervertebral disc that separates them, the corresponding apophyseal or facet joints, and the complex network of ligaments that bind them together [2].

It is the health and integrity of these components, particularly the ligaments, that dictate the biomechanical stability of the spine.

When an external force exceeds the physiological tolerance of these ligaments, they can be stretched or torn, leading to a loss of structural integrity and aberrant motion.

2.2 Defining Alteration of Motion Segment Integrity (AOMSI)

Alteration of Motion Segment Integrity (AOMSI) is a critical diagnosis in the context of traumatic spinal injury. It is not a pathology in itself, but rather a diagnostic finding that signifies a change in the normal biomechanical function and stability of a spinal motion segment [3]. The AMA describes AOMSI as a condition where the normal patterns of motion are altered, which can manifest as either an increase or a decrease in segmental movement [4]. This condition is a key indicator of spinal instability resulting from ligamentous damage, an injury that is frequently missed or underestimated by traditional static imaging techniques like standard X-rays or even MRI scans in some cases [5]. The diagnostic power of AOMSI lies in its ability to objectively quantify this instability, providing a direct link between ligament laxity and functional impairment.

2.3 Broader Biomechanical Spinal Dysfunctions

While AOMSI is a specific and highly significant finding, it represents one aspect of a wider spectrum of biomechanical spinal dysfunctions that can result from trauma. Beyond the discrete instability of a single motion segment, an injury can affect the spine on a more global scale. Digital analysis software can assist in identifying these broader issues, such as disruptions in sagittal balance, which is crucial for maintaining posture and biomechanical homeostasis with minimal energy expenditure [6]. Traumatic events can alter spinal curvature and alignment, forcing compensatory changes that lead to chronic pain, muscle fatigue, and accelerated degenerative processes. Therefore, a comprehensive assessment should extend beyond single-segment instability to evaluate the entire biomechanical status of the affected spinal region.

3. The Role of Digital Radiographic Analysis

Digital radiographic analysis, also referred to as digital motion X-ray or computerized mensuration, transcends the limitations of subjective visual interpretation of radiographs. By applying sophisticated software algorithms to digital X-ray images, clinicians can extract precise, objective data about spinal kinematics and structural integrity.

3.1 From Static Images to Dynamic Analysis

Traditional static X-rays provide a single snapshot of the spine's alignment in a neutral position. While useful for identifying fractures or severe dislocations, they often fail to reveal ligamentous instability, which becomes apparent only when the spine is in motion. Dynamic analysis, which involves taking a sequence of X-ray images as a patient moves through their range of motion (typically flexion and extension), provides far greater insight [7]. When these dynamic images

are processed by analysis software, they allow for the precise measurement of how each vertebral segment moves in relation to its adjacent segment. This process captures the articular motion of musculoskeletal structures, making it possible to quantify spinal pathologies and identify ligamentous instability that would otherwise remain hidden [8].

3.2 Quantitative Measurement and Objectivity

A core advantage of digital X-ray analysis software is its ability to produce highly accurate and reproducible measurements, a significant improvement over manual line-drawing techniques on film X-rays. Computerized mensuration is demonstrably superior in precision for spinal analysis [3]. This objectivity is critical in a medico-legal context, where evidence must be verifiable and robust. The software can precisely measure intervertebral translations, angular changes, and global alignment parameters. This transforms a qualitative assessment ("the vertebrae appear slightly misaligned") into a quantitative, evidence-based finding ("there is a 4.2 mm anterior translation of C4 on C5"), which carries substantially more weight in legal proceedings. Specialized services using this technology can provide detailed structural and functional assessments based on established guidelines for determining AOMSI [9].

3.3 Quantifying AOMSI with Established Criteria

The diagnosis of AOMSI is not arbitrary; it is based on specific, literature-supported criteria, often derived from the AMA *Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment*. Digital analysis software is instrumental in applying these criteria consistently. For example, AOMSI can be identified by measuring translational motion (the sliding of one vertebra over another) or angular motion during flexion and extension. Discrepancies in posterior vertebral body alignment (George's Line) are a key indicator of translational instability [2]. Specific thresholds for

Spinal Region	Translational Motion Threshold for AOMSI	Impairment Guideline Reference
Cervical Spine	> 3.5 mm	AMA Guides (per DXD)
Thoracic Spine	> 2.5 mm	AMA Guides (per DXD)
Lumbar Spine	> 4.5 mm	AMA Guides (per DXD)

abnormal motion have been established.

When these thresholds are exceeded, it provides objective evidence of ligamentous failure and a loss of motion segment integrity. This quantification is the cornerstone of using digital analysis as a powerful diagnostic and evidentiary tool.

4. Application in Personal Injury Litigation

The objective data generated by digital X-ray analysis software has profound implications for personal injury litigation. It strengthens a plaintiff's case by providing clear, visual, and measurable proof of injury, which can directly influence case valuation and outcomes.

4.1 Establishing Causation and Substantiating Injury

In any personal injury claim, establishing a causal link between the defendant's negligence and the plaintiff's injury is paramount. Medical imaging studies serve as a form of concrete, visual evidence that is highly influential [10]. Digital X-ray analysis provides objective findings that move a case beyond subjective complaints of pain to a demonstrable, quantifiable impairment. An AOMSI diagnosis, for example, provides strong evidence of a structural injury consistent with a traumatic event. This helps to overcome common defense arguments that a plaintiff's symptoms are psychosomatic, exaggerated, or related to pre-existing degenerative conditions. By providing visual proof of injury, the technology reinforces the argument of causation and can significantly enhance the legal efficacy of a claim [11].

4.2 Impairment Ratings and Damage Quantification

A quantifiable injury diagnosis directly translates into the calculation of damages. The AMA *Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment* are widely used in the legal and insurance industries to assign a percentage of impairment based on specific medical findings. A diagnosis of AOMSI, representing a significant spinal injury, can correspond to a substantial impairment rating. For instance, based on the *Guides*, the detection of AOMSI from rotational and translational changes can indicate a 25% whole person impairment [9]. Some methodologies, such as the Diagnosis-Related Estimate (DRE) Cervical Category IV for loss of motion segment integrity, can result in a 25%-28% impairment of the Whole Person [8]. This objective rating provides a clear and defensible basis for calculating damages related to pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, and future medical costs, thereby providing leverage in settlement negotiations and a solid foundation for arguments at trial.

4.3 The Power of Demonstrative Evidence

The outputs from digital analysis software are not just numbers; they are often presented in comprehensive, demonstrative reports that visually depict the abnormal motion. These reports can include color-coded lines, animations, and side-by-side comparisons of the injured segment with normal biomechanics. Such visual aids are powerful tools for educating insurance adjusters, mediators, judges, and juries who may not have a medical background. Well-prepared demonstrative evidence, such as annotated X-ray images, is a cornerstone of effective trial presentation [12]. While foundational requirements for admissibility must be met, the ability to show, rather than just tell, a jury about the nature and extent of a spinal injury can be incredibly persuasive and can dramatically impact the perceived severity and validity of the plaintiff's claim.

5. Legal and Scientific Admissibility

While digital X-ray analysis is a powerful tool, its use as evidence is not without scrutiny. For the findings to be admissible in court, they must meet rigorous legal and scientific standards, and attorneys must be prepared to defend the methodology against challenges from the opposing side.

5.1 The Daubert Standard and Scientific Validity

In federal courts and many state courts in the United States, expert testimony and scientific evidence are subject to the Daubert standard, which requires the trial judge to act as a "gatekeeper" to ensure that such evidence is both relevant and reliable. This reliability is assessed based on factors such as whether the technique has been tested, subjected to peer review and publication, possesses a known error rate, and is generally accepted within the relevant scientific community. The introduction of any advanced medical imaging analysis into the courtroom can face these hurdles.

There can be a disconnect between academic research on a technology and the specific requirements of the legal system for evidence-based medicine in individual cases [13]. Proponents of digital X-ray analysis must be prepared to demonstrate its scientific validity through peer-reviewed literature and testimony from qualified experts who can explain the principles and accuracy of the software. Analogous technologies like Upright MRI have gained acceptance over time by proving their ability to provide precise, evidence-based findings in litigated cases [14].

5.2 Addressing Potential Criticisms and Challenges

Defense counsel will invariably challenge the findings of digital X-ray analysis. These challenges may focus on several areas. First is the integrity of the digital images themselves; arguments can be made that even slight alterations in contrast or brightness could potentially create misleading findings, necessitating a clear chain of custody and process documentation [15]. The use of the original, uncompressed DICOM image files can be crucial in complex cases to ensure the richest and most accurate data is being analyzed [16]. Second, the defense may question the software's algorithms, calibration, or the specific diagnostic thresholds used. Third, they will often argue that the identified abnormalities are not the result of the incident in question but are due to pre-existing degenerative changes. A successful rebuttal requires a qualified expert who can differentiate between traumatic ligamentous injury and chronic degenerative processes, often by highlighting the specific biomechanical patterns of instability that are hallmarks of acute trauma.

5.3 The Role of the Expert Witness

The data from digital X-ray analysis software is meaningless in a legal setting without the interpretation and testimony of a qualified medical expert, such as a radiologist, chiropractor, or orthopedic specialist. This expert's role is multifaceted.

They must not only be proficient in using the software but also possess a deep understanding of spinal biomechanics, pathology, and the criteria for diagnosing AOMSI. The expert must be able to clearly explain the complex imaging findings to a lay audience, confirming that the images support the alleged mechanism of injury and substantiating the diagnosis [17]. The credibility of the expert witness and their ability to withstand cross-examination regarding the technology's methodology and the clinical significance of its findings are often the deciding factors in whether this powerful evidence is ultimately accepted and persuasive.

6. The Future of Medical Imaging in Legal Contexts

The evolution of medical imaging analysis is ongoing, with advancements in artificial intelligence and data integration poised to further enhance its role in personal injury litigation.

6.1 The Rise of Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Artificial intelligence is beginning to play a transformative role in the analysis of medical images. AI-powered algorithms can automate the process of identifying and measuring spinal parameters, increasing efficiency and potentially improving accuracy. These tools can rapidly screen large datasets of images, flag potential abnormalities for expert review, and assist in generating comprehensive reports. This shift from manual review to an AI-assisted process can streamline evidence preparation, improve risk assessment, and help legal teams turn vast amounts of complex medical data into clear, actionable strategies [1]. As AI models become more sophisticated and rigorously validated, they may offer even more nuanced insights into injury severity and prognosis.

6.2 Integration and Standardization

The future of diagnostic imaging in law will also depend on greater standardization and integration. Establishing universally accepted protocols for conducting and analyzing dynamic spinal X-rays will enhance the reliability and comparability of findings across different providers and software platforms. While MRI is often considered the gold standard for direct visualization of soft tissues like ligaments and the spinal cord, dynamic X-ray analysis provides unique functional information that is complementary [18]. The seamless integration of data from various imaging modalities (X-ray, MRI, CT) within a single analytical framework will provide a more holistic view of a patient's injury. This comprehensive approach, combining structural and functional data, will offer the most robust and defensible evidence for use in legal proceedings.

7. Conclusion

Digital X-ray analysis software represents a paradigm shift in the objective assessment of spinal injuries for personal injury cases. By providing precise, quantifiable data on spinal kinematics, it enables the definitive identification of conditions like Alteration of Motion Segment Integrity, which serves as a powerful, objective marker of ligamentous injury. This technology transforms subjective complaints into verifiable impairments, providing a solid foundation for establishing causation, quantifying damages through recognized impairment ratings, and presenting

compelling demonstrative evidence in court. While legal and scientific standards for admissibility require rigorous validation and expert interpretation, the trend toward evidence-based objectivity is clear. As the technology continues to evolve with the integration of artificial intelligence and standardized protocols, its role in ensuring that legitimate spinal injuries are accurately diagnosed and fairly compensated will only continue to grow, making it an indispensable tool in the modern practice of personal injury law.

References

[1] How AI Is Transforming Medical Imaging Analysis in Personal Injury … https://www.paxton.ai/post/how-ai-is-transforming-medical-imaging-analysis-in-personal-injury-litigation

[2] Cervical Motion Reporting - Digital Diagnostic Solutions. https://www.digitaldiagnosticsolutions.com/cmr

[3] Diagnosing Where to Adjust - Dynamic Chiropractic. https://dynamicchiropractic.com/article/102209-diagnosing-where-to-adjust

[4] Digital Radiographic Motion Analysis - Westminster Spine + Injury + … https://westminstercoloradochiro.com/digital-radiographic-motion-analysis/

[5] AOMSI Diagnostics. https://www.aomsidiagnostics.com/

[6] Registration - Symverta. https://www.symverta.com/registration/

[7] Dynamic Spinal Visualization and Vertebral Motion Analysis. https://www.southcarolinablues.com/web/public/brands/medicalpolicy/external-policies/dynamic-spinal-visualization-and-vertebral-motion-analysis/

[8] [PDF] Analyzed By Patient's Name Sample Doctor S - DXD Xray. https://dxdxray.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/08/Sample-DXD-Report.pdf

[9] Spine Metrics' X-Ray Digitizing Services. https://www.spinemetrics.us/xray-digitizing-services.html

[10] Reviewing Medical Imaging Studies in Personal Injury Cases. https://www.mosmedicalrecordreview.com/blog/how-important-medical-imaging-studies-for-mrr-in-personal-injury-cases/

[11] How Medical Imaging Can Play a Role in Gaining Expert … - Purview. https://www.purview.net/blog/how-medical-imaging-can-play-a-role-in-gaining-expert-certification-for-personal-injury-and-medical-malpractice-cases

[12] No Ideas But in Things: A Practitioner's Look at Demonstrative …
https://www.floridabar.org/the-florida-bar-journal/no-ideas-but-in-things-a-practitioners-look-at-demonstrative-evidence/

[13] Diffusion tensor imaging in the courtroom - PubMed Central.
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10353495/

[14] MRI scans and objective evidence of spinal injury - Plaintiff Magazine.
https://plaintiffmagazine.com/recent-issues/item/mri-scans-and-objective-evidence-of-spinal-injury

[15] The challenge of digital imagery as admissible evidence.
https://www.massbar.org/publications/lawyers-journal/lawyers-journal-article/lawyers-journal-2010-august/the-challenge-of-digital-imagery-as-admissible-evidence

[16] Lawyers Handling Medical DICOM Images for Litigation - Purview.
https://www.purview.net/blog/lawyers-handling-medical-dicom-images-for-litigation

[17] How Neuroradiology Expert Witnesses Support Legal Cases.
https://expertinfo.com/how-neuroradiology-expert-witnesses-support-legal-cases/

[18] Cervical spine injury: clinical and medico-legal overview - PMC.
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9931800/

Author Note

Dr. Robert B. Sheely has practiced chiropractic medicine for over 45 years, specializing in trauma care, biomechanical pathology, and evidence-based documentation for personal injury and rehabilitation. He is a Fellow, Primary Spine Care (candidate). He is also a Fellow of the International College of Chiropractors and the International Academy of Clinical Acupuncture.

Respectfully,

Robert B. Sheely, DC. FICC, FIACA
Director, Middletown Spine and Injury
Fellow, Primary Spine Care (candidate)
Dr Sheely's Current CV: bit.ly/DrSheelyCV

Health Care Position Papers Library, "Digital X-ray Analysis Software for AOMSI and Biomechanical Spinal Dysfunction Identification in Personal Injury Cases", August 29, 2025, Robert B. Sheely, DC, FICC. FIACA