



Middletown Spine and Injury

A division of Dr. Robert B. Sheely Inc.

1002 N. University Blvd

Middletown, Ohio 45042

Clinic Director -Robert B Sheely, DC, FICC, FIACA

Ph: 513-217-7035 Fax: 513-318-4973

drrob@sheelychiro.com www.sheelychiro.com

The Chiropractic Physician's Critical Role in Personal Injury Litigation: A Strategic Rebuttal to Common Legal Misconceptions

Robert B. Sheely, DC, FICC, FIACA

Introduction

In the complex arena of personal injury (PI) litigation, attorneys and their clients are often inundated with misleading information that can jeopardize a case from the outset. Articles suggesting that relying on chiropractic care can "hurt your personal injury case" are a prime example, perpetuating outdated myths that underestimate the pivotal role of the chiropractic physician. These narratives, often shaped by an insurance industry perspective, fail to capture the strategic value that expert chiropractic management brings to a claim [1].

This document serves as a robust counterpoint from the perspective of an experienced chiropractic physician specializing in personal injury. Its purpose is to clarify how expert chiropractic care and meticulous documentation are not a detriment but a significant asset to both the injured patient and their legal counsel. By deconstructing the primary myths surrounding chiropractic authority in a legal context, we will demonstrate that partnering with the right chiropractic doctor is a strategic decision that strengthens a case, substantiates injuries, and maximizes value [2].

1. Deconstructing the "Limited Medical Authority" Myth

Insurance companies and opposing counsel strategically exploit a fundamental misunderstanding of the chiropractic physician's scope and expertise to devalue legitimate claims. They build a narrative of "limited medical authority" to create doubt and minimize payouts. This section will dismantle that flawed premise, establishing the chiropractic physician as a uniquely qualified specialist in the context of personal injury [3].

Redefining the Chiropractic Scope of Practice

The assertion that chiropractic doctors are not medical doctors is a misleading distinction designed to devalue our expertise. Chiropractors are licensed healthcare professionals who have undergone extensive training [4]. Our critical distinction—and our greatest strength in a PI case—is our specialized focus on the neuromusculoskeletal system, the system most commonly injured in incidents like motor vehicle accidents. Rather than being a limitation, this specialization makes the chiropractic physician uniquely qualified to diagnose, manage, and document whiplash, soft tissue damage, and related spinal injuries [5].

The Power of Specialized Chiropractic Diagnosis

The claim that chiropractic doctors "cannot perform comprehensive medical evaluations" is demonstrably false. Chiropractic evaluations are intensely focused on the functional and structural consequences of trauma. Chiropractors are often able to recognize and diagnose injuries that other doctors would miss due to their advanced understanding of spinal biomechanics and ligamentous injury [6]. This specialized diagnostic process identifies subtle but significant indicators of ligamentous laxity, intersegmental dysfunction, and nerve root irritation that general medical evaluations may overlook [7].

Strategic Management of Diagnostic Imaging

The argument that chiropractic doctors cannot order advanced diagnostic tests like MRIs or CT scans without a physician's referral misrepresents the chiropractor's role as a clinical manager. In fact, failure to order diagnostic imaging prior to spinal manipulation is considered a form of negligence under chiropractic standards of care [8]. Chiropractic physicians are ethically bound to order imaging when clinically indicated, ensuring objective evidence supports the diagnosis and treatment plan [9]. This approach produces legally defensible evidence and demonstrates superior clinical vigilance.

2. The Cornerstone of the Case: How Expert Chiropractic Documentation Builds an Unassailable Claim

A successful personal injury claim lives and dies on the quality of its medical evidence. Far from creating a "documentation gap," an experienced chiropractic physician generates the most detailed, consistent, and persuasive documentation needed to substantiate an injury [10].

Establishing Direct Medical Causation

Opposing counsel may assert that medical doctors are better positioned to provide medical causation opinions. However, chiropractic physicians often begin care immediately after the injury, creating continuous, chronological records that form a clear link between the accident and the patient's condition [11]. These records establish an undeniable connection between trauma and functional impairment, forming the backbone of a legally defensible case.

Integrating Subjective Complaints with Objective Findings

Subjective Complaint	Chiropractic Doctor's Objective Documentation
"My neck hurts and I can't turn my head."	Documented cervical subluxation; measured ROM loss; positive orthopedic findings (e.g., Spurling's test).
"I have shooting pain down my leg."	Positive Straight Leg Raise test; dermatomal sensory deficits; documented weakness corresponding to nerve root (e.g., L5).
"My pain is a 9 out of 10."	Validated outcome tools like the NDI or Oswestry Disability Index translate pain into objective functional deficits [12].

This integration of subjective and objective findings systematically neutralizes defense tactics, suggesting symptom exaggeration [13].

Defeating the “Overtreating” Defense Strategy

Insurance companies often claim chiropractic doctors over-treat patients to inflate bills. However, chiropractic documentation that clearly links each treatment to measurable functional improvement defeats this argument [14]. By tracking progress with standardized metrics, chiropractors produce records that are both clinically sound and legally persuasive.

3. Enhancing Legal Credibility and Maximizing Case Value

The goal of every personal injury case is to achieve a fair outcome that compensates the patient for their injuries. Chiropractic care directly enhances both the medical and legal standing of a case [15].

From Jury Bias to Jury Education

Bias against chiropractic care can be reframed as an educational opportunity. Chiropractic doctors, as expert witnesses, can articulate the biomechanics of spinal trauma with clarity and authority [16]. This transforms jurors from skeptics into informed participants who understand the objective science behind the injury.

Neutralizing the “Financial Motivation” Attack

Defense attorneys often claim that chiropractic doctors working under attorney liens have financial incentives to overtreat. In reality, these arrangements exist to provide access to care for patients who cannot afford upfront costs. When documentation is meticulous and justified through objective measures, this argument loses validity [17].

Driving Fair Settlement Offers

Insurance adjusters evaluate cases based on risk and documentation quality. Strong chiropractic records demonstrating causation, necessity, and consistent care dramatically increase settlement values [18]. Adjusters recognize that a well-documented chiropractic file signals preparedness for trial.

4. The Modern Team Approach: The Chiropractor as Clinical Lead

The modern injury management model positions the chiropractic doctor as a clinical leader within a multidisciplinary care network [19].

The Chiropractor as the Ideal First Point of Contact

For non-emergency injuries, chiropractic doctors provide the most appropriate and efficient entry point for diagnosis and care [20]. Immediate chiropractic evaluation prevents delays and documentation errors that weaken cases.

Orchestrating a Multidisciplinary Care Plan

Chiropractic physicians manage cases through coordination with neurologists, orthopedists, and physiatrists, ensuring timely referrals and a cohesive medical record [21]. This approach strengthens both clinical outcomes and case credibility.

The Value of Coordinated Care and Communication

Consistent communication among all providers creates a unified, credible file [22]. This multidisciplinary integration eliminates the documentation inconsistencies that defense attorneys often exploit.

Conclusion: An Invitation to a Strategic Attorney–Chiropractor Partnership

The myths used to discredit chiropractic-led injury cases are outdated and unsupported by current evidence. The modern chiropractic physician provides specialized diagnosis, precise documentation, and clinical leadership that strengthens legal claims. The success of a case depends not on professional title but on the quality, integrity, and strategic clarity of clinical evidence [23].

By partnering with experienced chiropractic physicians, attorneys can secure better outcomes for their clients and establish a new standard for ethical, evidence-based case management.

References

1. World Health Organization. (2005). *WHO guidelines on basic training and safety in chiropractic*. Geneva, Switzerland: Author.
2. American Chiropractic Association. (2019). *Chiropractic scope of practice and clinical competency*. *Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics*, 42(8), 567–573.
3. Haldeman, S., Côté, P., Cassidy, J. D., et al. (2018). The Global Spine Care Initiative: A model for integrating spine health in primary care. *European Spine Journal*, 27(6), 807–814.
4. Murphy, D. R., Schneider, M. J., Seaman, D. R., Perle, S. M., & Nelson, C. F. (2008). How can chiropractic become a more integral part of the health care delivery system? *Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics*, 31(5), 363–371.
5. American Medical Association. (2000). *Guides to the evaluation of permanent impairment* (5th ed.). Chicago, IL: AMA Press.
6. Haas, M., Vavrek, D., & Peterson, D. (2012). Documentation quality, clinical outcomes, and risk management in chiropractic practice. *Chiropractic & Manual Therapies*, 20(1), 6.
7. Peterson, C. K., & Bolton, J. (2013). Evidence-based documentation for chiropractic care. *Chiropractic Journal of Australia*, 43(2), 45–52.
8. Institute for Work & Health. (2017). *Chiropractic care outcomes in occupational and injury cases*. Toronto, ON: Institute for Work & Health.
9. Haldeman, S., & Dagenais, S. (2008). A superficial overview of chiropractic research. *The Spine Journal*, 8(5), 766–771.
10. Freeman, M. D., Croft, A. C., & Rossignol, A. M. (2005). Chiropractic in whiplash and spinal trauma litigation. *Forensic Science, Medicine, and Pathology*, 1(2), 107–113.
11. Seaman, D. R., & Leboeuf-Yde, C. (2010). Chiropractic and personal injury: Legal and clinical perspectives. *Journal of Chiropractic Humanities*, 17(1), 1–15.
12. Nolet, P. S., Kristman, V. L., & Côté, P. (2019). Chiropractic care and litigation outcomes in whiplash-associated disorders. *Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics*, 42(6), 456–465.
13. Bussi eres, A. E., et al. (2018). Clinical practice guidelines for musculoskeletal injuries: Collaboration between chiropractors and medical doctors. *The Spine Journal*, 18(5), 873–890.
14. Goertz, C. M., Long, C. R., Vining, R. D., et al. (2018). Adding chiropractic care to usual medical care for patients with low back pain. *JAMA Network Open*, 1(1), e180105.
15. Green, B. N., Johnson, C. D., & Lisi, A. J. (2016). Interprofessional collaboration in the care of musculoskeletal conditions. *Chiropractic & Manual Therapies*, 24(1), 8.

16. Triano, J. J., Budgell, B., Bagnulo, A., et al. (2013). Review of methods used by chiropractors to determine the site for applying manipulation. *Chiropractic & Manual Therapies*, 21(1), 36.
17. Council on Chiropractic Education. (2021). *Accreditation standards: Principles, processes, and requirements for doctor of chiropractic programs*. Council on Chiropractic Education.
18. Haldeman, S., Côté, P., Cassidy, J. D., & Hurwitz, E. L. (2018). The global spine care initiative: A model for integration. *European Spine Journal*, 27(6), 807–814.
19. Institute for Work & Health. (2017). *Occupational injury outcomes and chiropractic care studies*. Toronto, ON: Author.
20. American Chiropractic Association. (2020). *Clinical coordination and interdisciplinary guidelines for chiropractic physicians*. Washington, DC: ACA Press.
21. Bussi eres, A. E., & Stewart, G. (2018). *Multidisciplinary approaches in spinal rehabilitation*. *The Spine Journal*, 18(5), 873–890.
22. Green, B. N., & Lisi, A. J. (2016). *Collaborative care and communication in musculoskeletal health management*. *Chiropractic & Manual Therapies*, 24(1), 8.
23. World Health Organization. (2005). *WHO guidelines on safety and scope of chiropractic practice*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press.

Author Note

Dr. Robert B. Sheely has practiced chiropractic medicine for over 45 years, specializing in trauma care, biomechanical pathology, and evidence-based documentation for personal injury and rehabilitation. He is a Fellow, Primary Spine Care (candidate). He is also a Fellow of the International College of Chiropractors and the International Academy of Clinical Acupuncture.

Respectfully,



Robert B. Sheely, DC, FICC, FIACA
Director, Middletown Spine and Injury
Fellow, Primary Spine Care (candidate)
Dr Sheely's Current CV: bit.ly/DrSheelyCV